

KCF Downtown Association
Name of Applicant

John C. Driscoll
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	20	<u>19</u>	Does the applicant demonstrate the project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?
(0-10)	10	<u>10</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans?
(0-10)	5	<u>5</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(0-10)	10	<u>10</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which the county economic development grant will bring other public or private funds to the project?
(0-10)	15	<u>10</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County, that are not currently well served, while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding?
(0-10)	10	<u>10</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(0-10)	10	<u>10</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>74</u>	

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 40 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 114

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: None

Comments: Recommend approval of the amount requested
The KFDA has a proven track record. This grant
should yield a significant multiplier effect.
This project will yield long term jobs.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

Recommend Full Funding

KFDA Business Plan
Name of Applicant

Betty Rue
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	20	<u>260</u>	Does the applicant demonstrate the project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?
(0-10)	10	<u>100</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans?
(0-10)	5	<u>40</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(0-10)	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which the county economic development grant will bring other public or private funds to the project?
(0-10)	15	<u>90</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County, that are not currently well served, while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding?
(0-10)	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(0-10)	10	<u>70</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>660</u>	

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 _____ Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 660

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5000

DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION

Name of Applicant

Toby Ross

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10) <u>5</u>	20	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant demonstrate the project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?
(0-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans?
(0-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(0-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which the county economic development grant will bring other public or private funds to the project?
(0-10) <u>6</u>	15	<u>90</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County, that are not currently well served, while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding?
(0-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(0-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>600</u>	

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS

600

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Potential to establish or expand existing business support in downtown
May not be eligible -

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5000

KEDA Business Plan Competition
Name of Applicant

Doug Brown
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	20	<u>60</u> 30	Does the applicant demonstrate the project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?
(0-10)	10	<u>30</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans?
(0-10)	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(0-10)	10	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which the county economic development grant will bring other public or private funds to the project?
(0-10)	15	<u>30</u> 20	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County, that are not currently well served, while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding?
(0-10)	10	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(0-10)	10	<u>20</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>220</u>	

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS _____

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: They haven't completed the last funding the did with the same program - I don't like giving money to other Boards to determine where it goes

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

KFDA

Name of Applicant

Ryan Wheelock

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10) 5	20	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant demonstrate the project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?
(0-10) 5	10	<u>50</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans?
(0-10) 2	5	<u>10</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(0-10) 4	10	<u>40</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which the county economic development grant will bring other public or private funds to the project?
(0-10) 0	15	<u>0</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County, that are not currently well served, while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding?
(0-10) 2	10	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(0-10) 2	10	<u>20</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>240</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
(0-100)		<u>0</u>	Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
TOTAL POINTS		<u>240</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: with no pull on who the funds go to I dont like this for the 2nd year not completion from last year

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____